

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
3:06cr113

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
                                )  
                                )  
vs.                         )  
                               )  
                               )  
RONALD ARTHUR HARRIS )  
                               )  
                               )

ORDER

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court upon motion of the defendant for a reduction of sentence based on the retroactive amendments to the United States Sentencing Guidelines relating to crack cocaine, (Doc. No. 45), and the government's response, (Doc. No. 52).

The defendant pled guilty to possessing at least 5 but fewer than 20 grams of cocaine base, along with cocaine and marijuana, with intent to distribute (Count Two), and carrying a firearm during and in relation to that offense (Count Three). (Doc. No. 13: Plea Agreement at 1-2; Doc. No. 29: Judgment at 1). The resulting offense level of 26 was decreased by 3 for accepting responsibility. (Doc. No. 42: Presentence Report (PSR) at ¶¶ 18, 20). Combined with a criminal history category of III, the resulting advisory guideline range was 120.<sup>1</sup> The government moved for a downward departure pursuant to USSG §5K1.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e). (Doc. No. 24: Motion). After granting the motion, the Court sentenced the defendant to 57 months' imprisonment on Count Two and 60 consecutive months on Count Three.<sup>2</sup> (Doc. No. 29: Judgment at 2).

---

<sup>1</sup> The defendant's offense was subject to a mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years. 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(b)(1)(B)(iii) and 851; USSG §5G1.1(b).

<sup>2</sup> The sentence was affirmed on appeal. (Doc. No. 53: Opinion).

In determining whether the defendant is entitled to a further reduction under the recent amendments to the guidelines, the Court must calculate the guideline range as if the amendments had been in place at the time of sentencing. USSG §1B1.10(b)(1). If a statutory mandatory minimum exceeds the guideline range, the Court must use the statutorily required sentence as the starting point prior to considerations of departure. USSG §5G1.1(b); United States v. Pillow, 191 F.3d 403, 407 (4th Cir. 1999). No reduction in sentence is authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3552(c)(2) when an amendment has no effect because a statutory mandatory minimum prevents lowering the guideline range. United States v. Hood, 556 F.3d 226, 232-33 (4th Cir. 2009) (when sentence results from application of mandatory minimum, it is not “based on” guideline range subsequently lowered by Sentencing Commission); USSG §1B1.10, comment. (n.1(A)(ii)).

Here, the amended guidelines provide for a two-level reduction in offense level, but the resulting guideline range remains trumped by the 120-month mandatory minimum sentence prior to considerations of departure. Therefore, the defendant is not entitled to relief.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the defendant’s motion is **DENIED**.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this order to the defendant, the Community Defender, the United States Attorney, the United States Marshals Service, and the United States Probation Office.

Signed: November 15, 2010



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.  
Chief United States District Judge

